

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,429	08/19/2003	Olaf Vancura	1482/198()	4544
23381 75	90 05/18/2005		EXAMINER	
	ON SLOAN & BIRNEY	PIERCE, WILLIAM M		
3010 EAST 6TI DENVER, CO	- -		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,,			3711	
		•	DATE MAILED: 05/18/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ω			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/643,429	VANCURA, OLAF			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		William M Pierce	3711			
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply beriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Ma	a <u>y 2005</u> .				
2a)⊠ 1	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□ 8	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
c	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositio	n of Claims					
4)🛛 (Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) <u> </u>	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.					
6)⊠ (
7) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) 🗌 (Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicatio	n Papers					
9) <u></u> ⊤	he specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.				
10)∐ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
A	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		• •			
11)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[_ 1	. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
	Certified copies of the priority documents					
3	 Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau 	•	ed in this National Stage			
* Se	e the attached detailed Office action for a list of	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2d			
		or the contined copies not receive				
			WILLIAM M. PIERCE			
Attachment(s	•		FRANKARY EXAMINER			
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🔲 Informa	tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

§ 1.105 Requirements for information.

Examiner requires a copy of any and all known non-patent literature, published application, or patent (U.S. or foreign) that relates to the claimed invention pertaining to the Family Feud as presented in the specification of the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Demar et al in view of Walker ad Feud History.

Applicant's argues against Walker individually. However appellant is reminded that one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references.

See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). While appellant makes that argument that Walker fails to show a "bonusing game", he fails to provide a definition of what he considers such a game to be. Clearly, Walker teaches that players receive a "bonus" by enhanced real slot payouts with correct answers to trivia question. The issue of bonusing games can be more broadly viewed that there exists an unlimited number of combinations of "bonusing events" activities that are available for a game designer to select from for a secondary bonusing game. In considering this the examiner has considered whether the claims at hand involve a mere substitution of one game activity for that of another, any unexpected results achieved or any particular problem solved. In the instant case the claimed invention performs and produces substantially the same results as the prior art with the exception of the requirement of a different secondary game. As such, the substitution of a trivia game for that of a secondary game in a bonus game is considered a mere substitution of one game activity for that of another that is shown in Walker.

With respect to the Family Feud, appellant has admitted them as prior art in their specification. Where the specification identifies work done by another as "prior art," the subject matter so identified is treated as admitted prior art. In re Nomiya, 509 F.2d 566, 571, 184 USPQ 607, 611 (CCPA 1975). Family Feud is a known trivia type game in which "survey-based" results are used. Where appellant states that he did not receive a copy of the "Feud History" to "analyze". The 892 of the previous office action cited the web link. For the convenience of appellant, a copy is furnished with this office action.

Changing the bonusing game of Demar to a "survey-based" bonusing game would have been obvious to one skilled in the art in order to replace the secondary game activity of Demar with that of another known game

Application/Control Number: 10/643,429 Page 3

Art Unit: 3711

activity that is know in the art and taught by Walker and Family Feud. Lastly merely changing the "theme" of a game is does not result in a new game. This position is analogous to the determination that a mere change in printed matter does not distinguish over the prior art. In re Gulack, 703 F.2d 1381, 1385-86, 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed. Cir. 1983)("Where the printed matter is not functionally related to the substrate, the printed matter will not distinguish the invention from the prior art in terms of patentability [T] he critical question is whether there exists any new and unobvious functional relationship between the printed matter and the substrate.")

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication and its merits should be directed to William Pierce at E-mail address bill.pierce@USPTO.gov or at telephone number (571) 272-4414.

For **official fax** communications to be officially entered in the application the fax number is (703) 872-9306.

For informal fax communications the fax number is (703) 308-7769.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the **status** of this application or proceeding can also be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Any inquiry concerning the **drawings** should be directed to the Drafting Division whose telephone number is (703) 305-8335.

WILLIAM M. PIERCE PRIMARY EXAMINER